IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
	Plaintiff,) 8:06CR119)	
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER	
MΑ	ARTIN GONZALEZ-MORENO,		
	Defendant.	}	
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act on April 24, 2006, the Court orders the above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).		
B.	 Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community. 		
C.	The Court's findings are based on the evidence which was presented in court and that which was contained in the Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following: X (1) Nature and circumstances of the offense charged: X (a) The crime: a conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute in excess of 500 grams of methamphetamine (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 carries a minimum sentence of ten years imprisonment and a maximum of life imprisonment; the possession with intent to distribute in excess of 500 grams of methamphetamine (Count IV) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) carries a minimum sentence of ten years imprisonment and a maximum of life imprisonment. (b) The offense is a crime of violence. (c) The offense involves a narcotic drug. (d) The offense involves a large amount of controlled substances, to wit: (2) The weight of the evidence against the defendant is high. X (3) The history and characteristics of the defendant including:		
	(a) General Factors: The defendant a may affect whet The defendant h X The defendant h X The defendant h The defendant is The defendant of ties. Past conduct of The defendant h The defendant h	appears to have a mental condition which her the defendant will appear. has no family ties in the area. has no steady employment. has no substantial financial resources. Is not a long time resident of the community. does not have any significant community.	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. (b) At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation X The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal Other:
X (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment. The defendant's parents and siblings live in Mexico where he stayed for approximately a month and a half in December 2004. There was a pound and a half of crystal ice methamphetamine found in his residence in Omaha - one pound in a clear tupperware container in the kitchen cupboard and seven baggies each containing an ounce of crystal ice methamphetamine alongside. Found in the bedroom were various identification cards in various names with the defendant's picture on them. One of the cards was a Mexican Consulate ID card indicating that the defendant had been using 1928 South 14th Street, Omaha, Nebraska, as his residence since May of 2004. The defendant informed pretrial services that he had been living at that address for only four months. Also found in the residence was a Western Union money order receipt for Garcia-Padilla. Garcia-Padilla was surveilled in his distribution of methamphetamine and was stopped by Sarpy County law enforcement with methamphetamine in his vehicle. The methamphetamine was in a similar container as that found in Gonzalez-Moreno's residence.	
X (5)	Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: (a) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or X (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:

X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.

(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 24, 2006. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge